Case 4:10-cr-00050-PJH Document 16 Filed 02/03/10 Page 2 of 2

| 1 | United States v. Motamedi, 767 F.2d 1403, 1406 (9th Cir. 1985). The new information does not | |
|----|---|--------------------------------|
| 2 | alter the Court's previous conclusion that no condition or combination of conditions in section | |
| 3 | 3142(c) will reasonably assure the safety of the community. This conclusion is reinforced by the | |
| 4 | fact that Baptista was on probation at the time of this offense, giving the Court no assurance that | |
| 5 | he would comply with any conditions of release the Court might set. | |
| 6 | Accordingly, the Court affirms its previous order detaining Baptista as a danger to the | |
| 7 | community. Because Baptista waived his right to present information under 3142(f) without | |
| 8 | prejudice to raising any relevant information at a later hearing, the Court orders that the hearing | |
| 9 | may be reopened at Baptista's request at any future time. | |
| 10 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | 1180 |
| 11 | DATED: February 3, 2010 | LAUREL BEELER |
| 12 | | United States Magistrate Judge |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |